

**From:** Lutenski, Leigh (ECN) <leigh.lutenski@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 28, 2020 2:07 PM  
**To:** Christopher Pederson <chpederson@yahoo.com>  
**Cc:** Hong, Seung Yen (CPC) <seungyen.hong@sfgov.org>; ECN, BalboaReservoirCompliance (ECN) <balboareservoircompliance.ecn@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Re: City College draft MOU re Balboa Reservoir

---

It is simply to codify that the developer and the College will be coordinating and collaborating through the construction of both the Balboa project and the College's bond projects. The City's approval documents are the binding set of entitlements and benefits.

---

**From:** Christopher Pederson <chpederson@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 28, 2020 1:37 PM  
**To:** Lutenski, Leigh (ECN) <leigh.lutenski@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Hong, Seung Yen (CPC) <seungyen.hong@sfgov.org>; ECN, BalboaReservoirCompliance (ECN) <balboareservoircompliance.ecn@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Re: City College draft MOU re Balboa Reservoir

Thank you, Leigh. Why does there even need to be a MOU between the developers and City College? Is it just because some access easements need to be tweaked or is there something more going on?

Chris

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2020, at 1:14 PM, Lutenski, Leigh (ECN) <[leigh.lutenski@sfgov.org](mailto:leigh.lutenski@sfgov.org)> wrote:

Hello Christopher,

Thank you so much for your comment. We are aware of the College Trustee agenda item and have met with representatives from the College recently to discuss their process. They have a process whereby the Trustees will be voting on the "universe of negotiating items" for the MOU and then delegate authority to the Chancellor to execute it with the developer team. The City and developer team has been very clear that the public parking allowance in the City's approval documents reflects what the City is going to accommodate. I expect there will be continued discussions between the College and the developer after Thursday's hearing.

Please let me know if I can provide additional clarity. Thanks again, Leigh

-----  
Leigh Lutenski  
Office of Economic and Workforce Development  
(415) 554-6679  
[leigh.lutenski@sfgov.org](mailto:leigh.lutenski@sfgov.org)

---

**From:** Christopher Pederson <[chpederson@yahoo.com](mailto:chpederson@yahoo.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 28, 2020 10:00 AM  
**To:** Lutenski, Leigh (ECN) <[leigh.lutenski@sfgov.org](mailto:leigh.lutenski@sfgov.org)>; Hong, Seung Yen (CPC) <[seungyen.hong@sfgov.org](mailto:seungyen.hong@sfgov.org)>; ECN, BalboaReservoirCompliance (ECN) <[balboareservoircompliance.ecn@sfgov.org](mailto:balboareservoircompliance.ecn@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** City College draft MOU re Balboa Reservoir

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

ear Leigh and Seung Yen,

I'm very disturbed to see that the draft Balboa Reservoir MOU that the City College Board of Trustees will consider this Thursday afternoon at 4 pm would require the developers to build a 980-space (!) public parking garage. (Here's the draft MOU: [https://go.boarddocs.com/ca/ccsf/Board.nsf/files/BRTLHB569CF4/\\$file/BALBOA%20RESERVOIR%20MOU%20-%20Draft%20II%20-%20CCSF%20AND%20RCP%20-%20072220.pdf](https://go.boarddocs.com/ca/ccsf/Board.nsf/files/BRTLHB569CF4/$file/BALBOA%20RESERVOIR%20MOU%20-%20Draft%20II%20-%20CCSF%20AND%20RCP%20-%20072220.pdf)) I urge you to inform your contacts at City College that this last-minute demand is a non-starter that for exceeds that maximum amount of parking considered under the SEIR and that City College should instead consider measures that are at least minimally consistent with the City's transit-first policies. Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Pederson